Minutes of the Adjourned Board Meeting of Thursday, December 18, 1975

CALL TO ORDER:

Pursuant to adjournment from the regular Board meeting of December 11, 1975, the adjourned meeting of the Board of Trustees of Community College District No. 512 was called to order by Vice Chairperson Nicklas in the absence of Chairperson Munson, on Thursday, December 18, 1975, at 8:15 p.m., in the Board Room of the Administration Building, Algonquin and Roselle Roads, Palatine.

ROLL CALL:

Present: Members William A. Kelly, Robert Moats, Jessalyn Nicklas, Robert Rausch, Judith Troehler, Natalie Weber, and Student Member Anthony Havener

Absent: Member Shirley Munson

Also present: Robert E. Lahti, John Birkholz, Guerin Fischer, John Eliaik, John Gelch, Roy Kears, P. Lewis, W. J. Mann, D. Misić, Roger Musel, Bob Nolan, and Gary Rankin--Harper College; Frank M. Hines--Board Attorney; Ed Finlay--Caudill Rowlett Scott; and Dave Stillwaugh--Erickson, Kristmann, Stillwaugh, Inc.

CONSIDERATION OF ARCHITECTURAL ASSOCIATION WITH A LOCAL ARCHITECT

Vice Chairperson Nicklas introduced Ed Finlay, Caudill Rowlett Scott, and explained Caudill Rowlett Scott's past relationship with Harper. Mr. Finlay indicated he would like to make a short presentation regarding CRS's overall architectural service and how the firm serves a client. Mr. Finlay's slide presentation covered many of the school projects they have designed.

Mr. Finlay recommended that an association with a local architect be considered by the Board. He indicated the firm of Erickson, Kristmann, Stillwaugh, Inc., would be CRS's recommendation because of the excellent success they have had on many past projects.

Member Nicklas asked how the association would function. Mr. Finlay indicated CRS would handle design and EKS would handle specifications and supervision.

Member Rausch asked how the association would arrange the contract. Mr. Finlay indicated one contract would be signed with CRS, the primary architect.

Member Moats asked how the association would transfer authority and responsibility. Mr. Finlay indicated this would be handled in the contract. The Board indicated they were satisfied with the quality of the proposed association.

Member Kelly indicated he would like to learn more about the current architectural contract difficulties with the Capital Development Board. Member Rausch asked if the
contract problem was a change from standard practice. Mr. Hines said basically yes. He went on to say he believed that the problem had been resolved based upon oral agreements completed by phone this afternoon. Further discussion revolved around the CDB's authority to require special architectural contract provisions.

Member Troehler asked if new projects would enjoy similar treatment. Dr. Lahti indicated Building I is currently waiting and this would be a test of the new agreement.

Member Kelly moved, Member Rausch seconded, that the Board hereby states its intention to retain the architectural firms of Caudill Rowlett Scott and Erickson, Kirstmann, Stillwaugh, Inc., jointly for the Physical Education Complex, subject to agreement upon contract content.

Upon roll call, the vote was as follows:

Ayes: Members Kelly, Moats, Nicklas, Rausch, Troehler and Weber
Nays: None

Motion carried.

Vice Chairperson asked Mr. Finlay to cover the construction schedule. Mr. Finlay said a fast track approach might be appropriate for the Physical Education complex. This would involve bidding, foundations, and subsequent phases as they are designed. Conventional methods would wait until all elements were designed and one package would be bid. The advantage is the time gained and the money saved by earlier bidding. The main disadvantage is that changes between phases are very difficult since the prior phase is completed; also, very careful cost estimation is needed to insure the total project comes in under budget. CRS and EKS indicated they had the expertise to estimate costs closely.

Member Kelly indicated some people felt fast tracking was experimental since more buildings are done with the conventional method. Mr. Finlay said he felt fast tracking was a proven process that had gained a great deal of acceptance. It was indicated that fast tracking would be fully considered later and be presented to the Board.

Vice Chairperson Nicklas indicated the Board had decided to combine the three buildings at its last meeting in the interest of economy and efficient use of space. Mr. Finlay said he felt this was the best approach. Further, he would be making a program presentation to the Board.
on January 8, based upon the educational specifications that are currently being prepared. Dr. Birkholz elaborated on progress to date. Member Rausch asked if all ideas were considered. After discussion, it was concluded that all ideas were considered within the square footage, cost, and program constraints. The architect indicated these three factors would be considered as the design progressed. Member Moats indicated consideration should be undertaken to insure a job market for intended recreation majors.

ADJOURNMENT: Member Rausch moved, Member Weber seconded, that the meeting be adjourned at 9:55 p.m. Motion carried.
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