I. Call to Order

II. Roll Call

III. Approval of Agenda

IV. Educational Presentations

V. Student Trustee Report

VI. President’s Report

VII. Consent Agenda* (Roll Call Vote)

A. For Approval
   1. Minutes – September 24, 2002 Regular Board Meeting
   2. Bills Payable, Payroll for September 20, 2002 and October 4, 2002; Estimated payrolls for October 5, 2002 through November 1, 2002
   3. Bid Awards
   4. Purchase Orders
   5. Personnel Action Sheets
   6. Approve Executive Session Minutes
   7. Faculty Leave of Absence

B. For Information
   1. Financial Statements
   2. Committee and Liaison Reports

* At the request of a Board member or the President, an item may be removed from the Consent Agenda for discussion. In addition, certain recurring recommendations may be included in the Consent Agenda at the discretion of the College President.
VIII. New Business

A. RECOMMENDATION: Truth in Taxation Resolution and 2002 Estimated Tax Levies

B. RECOMMENDATION: Life Safety Projects

C. RECOMMENDATION: Second Reading of Change to Gift Ban Policy


IX. Citizen Participation

X. Announcements by the Chair

A. Communications

B. Calendar Dates
   (Note: * = Required)

On-Campus Events
November 11, 2002  Veteran's Day - Classes Not In Session
November 15, 2002  7:30 p.m. - Frank Abagnale, Author of Catch Me If You Can! - Wellness and Sports Center
November 22, 2002  7:00 p.m. - Dave Rudolf's Big Holiday Extravaganza! - Business and Social Science Center Theatre, J143
November 28- December 1, 2002  Thanksgiving Holiday - Classes Not In Session

Off-Campus Events
November 1, 2002  6:30 p.m. - Harper College Educational Foundation Gala - Hyatt Regency Woodfield

XI. Other Business (including executive session, if necessary)

XII. Adjournment
Minutes of the Regular Board Meeting of Tuesday, September 24, 2002

CALL TO ORDER: The regular meeting of the Board of Trustees of Community College District No. 512 was called to order by Chair Howard on Tuesday, September 24, 2002 at 7:07 p.m. in the Wojcik Conference Center (Room W214), 1200 W. Algonquin Road, Palatine, Illinois.

ROLL CALL: Present: Members Barton, Botterman, Gillette, Howard, Kolze, Shure and Stone
Absent: Student Member Chartrand

Also present: Robert Breuder, President; Bonnie Henry, V.P. Human Resources and Internal Affairs; Joan Kindle, V.P. Student Affairs and Assistant to the President; David McShane, V.P. Information Technology; Margaret Skold, V.P. Academic Affairs; Judy Thorson, V.P. Administrative Services; Colleen Murphy, V.P. Marketing; Linda Kolbusz, Associate V.P. Development, Government Relations; Joe Accardi; Mike Alsup; Mary Azawi; Mike Barzacchini; Dianne Batzkall; Patrick Beach; Larry Bielawa; Carol Blotteaux; Cathy Brod; Phil Burdick; Steve Catlin; Daniel Corr; Laura Crane; Laurie Dietz; Dave Dluger; Jim Edstrom; Terry Engle; Julie Fleenor; Patti Ferguson; Robert Getz; Sally Griffith; Angela Guerrieri; Vickie Gukenberger; Paul Guymon; Michael Harkins; Julie Hennig; Paul Holdaway; Tom Johnson; Thea Keshavarzi; Cheryl Kisunzu; Laura LaBauve-Maher; Roberta Lindenthaler; Jim Ma; Sharon Martin; Russ Mills; Michael Nejman; Sheryl Otto; Jeanne Pankinan; Jan Phillips; Kris Piepenburg; Greg Rogers Sheila Quirk; Diana Sharp; Tom Thompson; Cheryl Turnauer; Kay Turner; Pat Wenthold; Deanna White and Denise Yurik. Student: Krister Kittelson.

Guests: R. Machelski, SGJJR; Karen Ruggles, Willis; Tim Kane, Chicago Tribune; Shruti Daté Singh, Daily Herald; Bill Kelley, visitor; Gary Clair, Resident; Janie Peterson, Harper College Educational Foundation.

Chair Howard noted that the educational presentation from Greg Sutton, President of IT Launch Program, has been removed from the Agenda and postponed.
APPROVAL OF AGENDA Member Kolze moved, Member Stone seconded, approval of the agenda as modified.

Upon roll call, the vote was as follows:

Ayes: Members Barton, Botterman, Gillette, Howard, Kolze, Shure and Stone
Nays: None

Motion carried.

PRESENTATIONS Assessment Outcomes

Vice President Margaret Skold noted that the assessment outcomes presentation this evening was at the request of the Board. She explained that assessment has been ongoing at Harper since classes began - teachers assess students. Assessment is a process that focuses on Harper’s contribution to the students and to the students’ learning. She broke down the assessment process into the following seven steps:

1. What do we want students to know or be able to do?  
2. What would it look like? How would we recognize it if we saw it?  
3. How do we teach students to exhibit what it is that we want them to demonstrate?  
4. What degree of mastery do we expect?  
5. How do we measure it?  
6. What do the scores tell us?  
7. What do we do with that information?

She introduced Steve Catlin, Interim Dean of Liberal Arts, and Diana Sharp, Associate Vice President for Academic Affairs, who would be giving much of the presentation.

Mr. Catlin explained that in 1992, the College undertook an in-depth analysis and study of its general education curriculum for all the associate degrees. That process, which included faculty and the Academic Standards Committee, resulted in the development of outcomes for each course and each program for the general education core curriculum of all the associate degrees. The process was also included in the annual program review process of the College – all programs are reviewed every five years.

At the course level, there are currently 1,198 credit courses with stated outcomes and appropriate assessments to measure those outcomes.
At the program level, each certificate and applied science degree has expected outcomes which were developed by the faculty and department chairs, and later reviewed and endorsed by the program advisory committees. In the general education core curriculum area, the Academic Standards Committee recommended (and the Board approved) a revised curriculum with outcomes. That curriculum was aligned with the Illinois Articulation Initiative so that it would parallel the transfer process for all students. The general education outcomes, or the general education core curriculum, include five areas: communications, mathematics, physical and life sciences, humanities and fine arts, social and behavioral sciences.

The College’s Assessment Plan evolved in 1997 with the last visit of North Central Association. It was a collaborative effort, with more than 60 faculty involved in the Assessment Plan process. Harper’s Assessment Plan was submitted to the Higher Learning Commission of the North Central Association in May 1999 and endorsed and approved by them in November 1999.

The Assessment Plan calls for assessment in the general education areas every five semesters. They do the assessment in one term and the analysis and report writing of those results in the next term. They finished the first assessment cycle of all general education areas this past year. Mr. Catlin gave an example of an assessment for a Chemistry course and an assessment for a Speech course, which have different measurements.

Dr. Sharp showed examples of career program assessment results and transfer program assessment results. In the nursing program, they found the following results:

- 100 percent of Harper nursing graduates are employed in nursing;
- 97 percent of employers are satisfied or highly satisfied with nursing students’ performance and preparation;
- 95 percent of Harper’s nursing graduates pass the National Council Licensing Exam.

Transfer program assessment results showed that in Chemistry 100, students demonstrated acceptable performance on four of the expected learning outcome areas, but were lower in a fifth.
Courses are reviewed to determine whether Harper is teaching the things that they want students to learn and whether or not the students are learning it. They look at teaching practices, course materials, course sequencing and learning objectives.

Steve Catlin shared the following findings, which came as a result of the first cycle of assessments:

1. No one assessment can be applied to all of the courses or all disciplines.
2. Most departments doing this assessment for the first time found some shortcomings in the methodologies that were used and cited that in the reports.
3. Variations in instructional methods may contribute to inconsistent outcomes. When 700+ people teach credit classes, undoubtedly they will have different instructional methods. Sometimes that is going to lead to different outcomes.
4. Identified the need to modify assessment process when multiple raters are involved in assessing the students’ work, such as multiple raters doing assessments of speeches or writings.
5. A common theme among mathematics, physical sciences and some social science areas showed weaknesses in interpretation of graph information.
6. Many believe that one snapshot assessment every five semesters is insufficient. Therefore, most of the departments are continuing to do some form of assessment each term and a culminating report every five semesters.
7. The original learning outcomes were not all sufficiently measurable.

Dr. Sharp noted that, after evaluating the Assessment Plan, they saw some successes and some weaknesses. They are determining what improvements to make and what additional documentation to collect, especially in preparation for the next North Central Association visit.

They will be looking at some additional end-of-program measures. This next year, the Illinois Board of Higher Education (IBHE) and Illinois Community College Board (ICCB) are sponsoring several conferences on Assessment; they are hoping to get a good number of Harper faculty to the conferences.
In response to Member Kolze, Mr. Catlin noted that the department faculty members shouldered the burden of the original project for course outcomes in the mid-1990s. Currently, when there is substantial analysis and report writing to be done, they provide some release for the faculty so they have the time to do it without interfering with their teaching process. Dr. Sharp added that it also depends on what is being assessed and the scope of the assessment. The amount of time varies between departments.

Chair Howard added that this is one way Harper measures its quality to make sure that courses are up-to-date and meeting the needs of the students. She thanked them for the presentation.

STUDENT TRUSTEE REPORT
Chair Howard explained that Student Member Chartrand had another commitment this evening and would not be at the meeting. She distributed a written copy of the Student Trustee Report and highlighted several upcoming events.

PRESIDENT’S REPORT
Dr. Breuder had no report.

CONSENT AGENDA
Member Gillette asked that Exhibits VII-A.5 and VII-A.6 be removed from the Consent Agenda.

Member Gillette moved, Member Kolze seconded, approval of the minutes for the August 22, 2002 regular Board meeting; bills payable; payroll for August 8, 2002, August 23, 2002 and September 9, 2002; estimated payrolls for September 7, 2002 through October 4, 2002; bid awards; purchase orders; and for information: financial statements, committee and liaison reports, grants and gifts status report, and first reading of an addition to the Gift Ban Policy in the Board Policy Manual; as outlined in Exhibits VII-A.1 through VII-A.4 and VII-B.1 through VII-B.4 (attached to the minutes in the Board of Trustees' Official Book of Minutes).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating Fund</td>
<td>$4,081,458.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuition Refunds</td>
<td>140,662.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The payrolls of August 8, 2002 in the amount of $1,457,126.77, August 23, 2002 in the amount of $1,465,312.32 and September 6, 2002 in the amount of $1,836,192.49; and estimated payroll of September 7, 2002 through October 4, 2002 in the amount of $3,838,495.59.
Bid Awards
Ex. VII-A.3.a Award bid Q00251 for the purchase and installation of Steelcase furniture for use by the Registrar’s Office to Office Equipment Company of Chicago, the low bidder, in the amount of $11,747.46.

Ex. VII-A.3.b Award bid request Q00249 for asphalt paving work at the Performing Arts Center to Schroeder Asphalt Services, Inc., the low bidder, in the amount of $124,500, the architect’s fee of $9,338 and a contingency of $6,225 for a total award of $140,063.

Purchase Orders

Ex. VII-A.4.b Approve issuance of a purchase order to IKON Office Solutions, for supplies and maintenance for the OCE high-speed printer, in the amount of $29,340.

First Reading of an Addition to the Gift Ban Policy in the Board Policy Manual
Policy 13.05.00 (26) was presented as a first reading by the Board of Trustees.

In response to Member Gillette, Dr. Breuder stated that the consultant has delivered the final document for the phases under contract of the Wayfinding Master Plan.

In response to Member Kolze, Vice President Judy Thorson stated that the report showing the number of personnel in various divisions and categories is now published as part of the budget document on an annual basis. Next month’s budget document will include that information.

Upon roll call on the Consent Agenda, the vote was as follows:

Ayes: Members Barton, Botterman, Gillette, Howard, Kolze, Shure and Stone
Nays: None

Motion carried.
Report on Insurance

Chair Howard noted that Dr. Breuder has invited the insurance broker to give a brief update on the College’s insurance renewal. Larry Bielawa introduced Karen Ruggles, Willis Corporation, who recently brought the 2003 medical insurance renewal to Harper College. Her presentation included the following information.

Components of Insurance Renewal

- Paid claims are the largest portion of Harper’s medical premiums, having increased by 31 percent over the last year.
- Trend, or medical inflation, has increased 18 percent for medical and 21 percent for prescription drug plans.
- Prescription drugs are a very high percentage of total claim costs at 27.98 percent. The average is 15 percent of total claims.
- Paid claims are running almost neck-to-neck with paid premiums – claims should be approximately 80 percent of premiums. Blue Cross needs administrative costs of approximately 25 percent to pay Harper’s claims.
- Brand-name drug costs have decreased and generics have increased. Total drug costs have increased by approximately 30 percent; however, average cost per prescription has decreased slightly because of the large generic utilization.
- HMO renewal shows an increase of 22.7 percent. Each month, the primary care physician receives a flat amount from Blue Cross for each patient who has signed up to receive services from them. Those “capitation fees” have increased by 50.41 percent, due in part to physicians renegotiating their contracts with Blue Cross for higher payments. This year’s trend for HMO hospital claims was 13 percent; last year it was three percent. This large increase is also due to hospitals renegotiating their contract.

Ms. Ruggles showed graphs of the three-year HMO claim history. It has been steadily increasing, without as many variances as the PPO plan. She noted that Blue Cross/Blue Shield is not making money on a Harper plan. In fact, they have been in a deficit position for every policy year since the plan was implemented. The total deficit stands at over $1M.

The 2003 renewal increases are 41.6 percent for the PPO plan and 41.4 percent for the HMO plan. These are due directly to claim utilization and trend increases.

Plan Alternatives
An alternative to the currently rich PPO plan with first dollar coverages would be to add a $10 office visit co-pay and to change the prescription drug card from the current $5 - $10 co-pay to a three-tiered $5, $10, $25 co-pay. That would reduce the renewal from a 41.6 percent to a 33.71 percent increase. If there is no change made to the PPO plan for family coverage, the annual premium rates will increase from $17,473 to $24,742.

An alternative to the HMO plan would be to add a $10 physician office co-pay and change the prescription drug card from a $3 - $8 co-pay to a $5, $10, $25 co-pay. That would reduce the renewal from a 41.4 percent to a 31.81 percent increase.

At the request of Member Stone, Larry Bielawa agreed to provide Board members with a copy of each of the slides from the presentation.

In response to Member Gillette, Dr. Breuder explained that a five percent salary increase across the board costs the College $2.2M. The health insurance increase at 40 percent costs the College $2.6M. Member Gillette stated that, for those employees who have accepted their contract, salary and benefits will be close to 8+ percent. Dr. Breuder felt it would be greater than that once everything was factored in. Chair Howard reiterated that the employee needs to realize that this kind of investment is being made by the College in their health insurance.

In response to Member Gillette, Larry Bielawa stated he would look into providing information to the Board regarding State Retirement Program cost in benefits in comparison to Harper’s insurance cost in benefits.

**Personnel Actions**

Member Kolze moved, Member Stone seconded, approval of Personnel Actions, as outlined in Exhibit VII-A.5 (attached to the minutes in the Board of Trustees' Official Book of Minutes).

**Administrator Appointments**

Robert Breuder, President, PR & BD, 07/01/02, $185,192/year

Cheryl Kisunzu, Assistant Vice President, Diversity/Organizational Development, DIV/ORG DEV, 09/10/02, $89,166/year
**Professional/Technical Appointments**
Salvatore Barbaro, Technical Installation Specialist II, IT/Ts, 07/01/02, $43,997/year
Cyrus Johnson, Student Activities Coordinator, STU ACT, 09/16/02, $39,000/year
Heidi Scheuer, Client Coordinator, CONF CTR, 09/09/02, $37,500/year
Daniel Yi, Operations Analyst, IT/AS, 09/09/02, $37,500/year

**Supervisory/Confidential Appointments**
Anna Ghiotti, Strategic Marketing Specialist/Adult & Business Learner, MSC, 09/09/02, $43,000/year
Thomas Lange, House Manager, THEA/BOX OFF, 09/23/02, $34,200/year

**Classified Staff Appointments**
Mary Jane Clark, Information Receptionist, p/t, STU DEV, 09/03/02, $6,396/year
Gail Hoban, Secretary, ATM/PS, 08/19/02, $27,300/year
Christel Kedzie, Administrative Assistant, DEV OFF, 07/01/02, $30,408/year
Mary Mikell, Administrative Secretary, ATM/PS, 08/28/02, $31,280/year
Jason Mutzenberger, Payroll/Accounting Assistant, ACCT SER, 09/09/02, $30,000/year
Lori Turinsky, Administrative Assistant, CAR PRO, 07/01/02, $33,383/year

**Harper #512 IEA/NEA Appointments**
Carlos Berrios, Custodian, PHY PLT, 09/16/02, $20,384/year
Aniela Fudala, Custodian, PHY PLT, 09/03/02, $20,384/year

**Professional/Technical Reclassification**
Guy Leahy, Fitness Assessment Coordinator, WHP, 07/01/02, $40,573/year

**Supervisory/Confidential Reclassifications**
Donna Harwood, Strategic Marketing Specialist/Special Projects, MKT SER, 07/01/02, $58,517/year
Michael Held, Manager, Student Systems, IT/AS, 07/01/02, $64,986/year
Susanne Starck, Web Content Manager, MKT SER, 07/01/02, $50,791/year
Lisa Tompkins, Employment Specialist, DIV/ORG DEV, 07/01/02, $54,070/year

**Classified Staff Reclassifications**
Craig Ferengul, Buyer III-Books, BKST, 07/01/02, $33,616/year
Helen Matteson, Secretary, WHP, 07/01/02, $28,622/year
Marlene Resner, Administrative Secretary, LS/HS, 07/01/02, $32,811/year

Administrator Retirement
Thomas Johnson, Dean, Business/Social Science, BUS/SS, 07/31/04, 28 years

Classified Staff Retirement
Eloyse Amato, Executive Assistant, DIV/ORG DEV, 11/29/02, 13 years

Faculty Resignation
Deborah Nance, Instructor-Art, LIB ARTS, 08/09/02, 1 year

Professional/Technical Resignation
Paul Lemrise, ESL Academic Advisor & Registration Specialist, AE/LS-ESL, 08/30/02, 2 years 5 months

Classified Staff Resignations
Lezlie Hill, Clerk Typist III, p/t, ATM/PS, 08/01/02, 3 years 5 months
Kathleen Jordan, Secretary, ATM/PS, 08/15/02, 4 years 10 months

Harper #512 IEA-NEA Resignation
David Milligan, Custodian, PHY PLT, 08/19/02, 1 month

Member Shure read the following prepared statement: “I’m against Dr. Breuder’s raise because I believe he is overpaid and his performance does not warrant an increase. I participated in the Board evaluation of Dr. Breuder last week, and I voiced my concerns. In April, I asked the Board to make policy changes to put a check on Dr. Breuder’s expenses and to find out what he does with his time. As of this day, I have received no response to my request for information or for action to insure that his personal expenses are not out of control. Secondly, I do not feel that he should be given a raise until all the employee contracts are completed and signed. I do feel that granting him a raise at this time will aggravate negotiations between the faculty and administration. His inability and unwillingness to deal with faculty concerns has contributed to the present situation.”

In response to Member Shure, Chair Howard noted that it is the responsibility of the Chair of the Board to review the
President’s expenses regularly. She has found that Dr. Breuder’s expenses are within his expense budget, and she has found nothing inappropriate.

Member Barton noted that all of the administrators received an increase, and, as an administrator, the President is receiving the same percentage as everyone else in the College. She believes he has earned it.

Member Shure stated that he feels Dr. Breuder’s expenses, as reported in the newspaper, are outrageous and embarrassing.

Member Kolze added that he feels Dr. Breuder is doing an excellent job. After attending an all-employee meeting recently, he was impressed with Dr. Breuder’s ability to communicate and felt he represented the Board in a very positive way. He sees nothing that would indicate Dr. Breuder’s performance is unsatisfactory; therefore, he supports the salary increase. He also feels it is not exorbitant in terms of what other CEOs are making.

Chair Howard stated that they look at the market range for comparable positions when considering salaries for all employees. With regard to the College President’s salary, they consider the level of education, the years of experience, the record of achievement as demonstrated in the outcomes every year, and comparable salaries at institutions of this size (the size of the budget, the size and number of students, the number of employees). His salary is in the marketplace.

Member Stone stated that she feels it is inappropriate to lump expenses along with salary increases, because they are two separate issues. In addition, she feels that last month’s presentation on Institutional Outcomes was quite impressive. Harper College is constantly receiving accolades for its sound financial management, and that is more than enough reason for the President to receive an increase. It is certainly in keeping with what every other employee of the College will receive.

Member Botterman noted that he participated in the evaluation of the President and was critical in areas where he thought there was need for improvement. He took the evaluation process seriously and offered his comments to the Chair and to the Board members. Member Botterman believes that the President is deserving of an increase.
Obviously, Board members would like to see all contracts negotiated on time. Dr. Breuder has helped secure additional funding for the College and is building a caucus down in Springfield, which not only benefits Harper College, but every educational institution within their taxing body. Member Botterman feels Dr. Breuder is deserving of the same pay raise that every other administrator of this campus has received.

Upon roll call, the vote was as follows:

Ayes: Members Barton, Botterman, Howard, Kolze and Stone
Nays: Members Gillette and Shure

Motion carried.

Disposal of Obsolete and Unrepairable Personal Property Owned by the College

Member Barton moved, Member Kolze seconded, approval of the disposal of obsolete and unrepairable personal property owned by the College through donation, a sealed bid sale, an open sale or an auction, sale to a scrap dealer, or other appropriate means for disposal of the property, as outlined in Exhibit VII-A.6 (attached to the minutes in the Board of Trustees' Official Book of Minutes).

Member Gillette explained that he issued a number of questions regarding this exhibit, and he has received positive answers. He found out that the College eliminated mechanical engineering because it was a loss leader; there were not enough students taking the course. As a result of that, the machines in this exhibit are no longer being used. Additionally, he found out that Harper is forming a partnership with Conant High School. Conant will use their machines and some of Harper's machines during the day; at night Harper will use their high school facility on a rent-free basis to offer job training. Member Gillette noted that he was impressed with the answers he received.

However, Member Gillette was concerned about two specific pieces of equipment – the Mazak vertical mill and the Mazak lathe, both of which are fairly new. Because he believes the disposal value of these two items is well in excess of $10,000, he would like the Board to have the ability to approve the disposition of them. In response to Chair Howard, Member Gillette asked that the disposal of the “top three” items be approved by the Board.

After a great deal of discussion, Sally Griffith explained that three Bridgeport mills and a lathe would be transported to
Conant High School, where Harper would continue to teach classes. It is not truly a donation, because the College is going to continue to use the equipment. Others on the list do not have much value to them. They have wanted to group this as a package because they want to get rid of all of it. Some of the pieces are more expensive to haul off than they are worth.

Member Gillette feels that someone in the machine tool industry will see an ad from someone else in the business (through an auction house) before they will see an ad from Harper. He feels the dollars will be much higher that way. Ms. Griffith affirmed that they would take the suggestion of Member Gillette and contact some auction houses directly with regard to the disposal of the machine tools.

Upon roll call, the vote was as follows:

Ayes: Members Barton, Gillette, Howard, Kolze and Stone
Nays: Members Botterman and Shure

Motion carried.

NEW BUSINESS:
Budget Hearing

At 7:55 p.m., Chair Howard recessed the regular meeting and called to order the public hearing on the FY 2002-2003 budget.

Vice President Judy Thorson highlighted the major differences between the Preliminary Budget, presented in June, and the Budget as presented for approval this evening. She explained the difference between the Legal Budget, as attached to the resolution, and the detailed Budget, which Board members received as a supplement. She highlighted pages from the detailed budget supplement.

Changes from Preliminary Budget to Current Budget

The preliminary budget was finished in early to mid-June. At that time, the legislature had not completed all of their actions; therefore, the College had to make some assumptions with regard to the State. In the end, the State Base Operating Grant was reduced by 10 percent, which equals $75,000. This budget has $75,000 less in revenue from the State than the preliminary budget had.

The O&M Fund reflects $730,000 that was unspent at the end of June. Some projects were not finished in the
previous budget year and had to be carried over into this year. In the Auxiliary Fund, Continuing Education added revenue and reduced overall expenditures. The Liability and Protection Fund reflects an additional $100,000 to account for the increased renewal rates for property insurance. The O&M Restricted Fund reflects adjustments for year-end accruals.

**Budget Highlights**
Pages 5-8 show a three-year headcount of various employee groups. This gives an idea of how any given employee group has increased or decreased over a period of time. This document was prepared at the request of Member Kolze and is now incorporated into the budget document. Vice President Thorson noted that Member Kolze has asked to see the information for five years. She is not sure they can provide that in time for this budget; however, they will add two more years in the future.

Page 19 focuses on the six Institutional Priorities. This links the Budget to the annual priorities from the Strategic Plan. Excerpts only from the Institutional Priorities are included in the Budget, in an effort to keep the size of the document manageable. The details of how the priority will be accomplished help everyone get a better picture of how the Strategic Plan and the Budget are linked. She noted that 81 percent of the Educational Fund is in salary and fringe benefits. Therefore, most of the priorities do get accomplished with staff time.

Page 44 gives an overview of all of the funds, with footnotes following on the next page. Combined revenue for next year is approximately $124M and combined expenses for next year are approximately $173M - expenses are greater than revenue by approximately $49M. She noted that the major deficit is in the O&M Restricted Fund, which shows a $48M deficit of the $49M. This is for the construction of the referendum building.

With regard to the Bond and Interest Fund, Member Gillette asked about the $2M in transfers. Vice President Thorson explained that the $2M reflects the bonds that were sold to fund technology. The $2M was moved over to Fund 06. A bond sale is planned every two or three years, and there is one planned for next year.

Page 53 focuses on the Education Fund. She pointed out that revenue and expenditures balance on this page, and it
was not an easy task this year for her, for her colleagues on President’s Council or throughout the College. Most revenue sources were either up only modestly, or they were down. In response to Member Gillette, Vice President Thorson noted that they used a 15 percent increase for insurance in the Preliminary Budget. After hearing the presentation this evening, everyone is aware that it was not accurate.

Vice President Thorson explained that they knew there would be two very volatile items that would be difficult to predict when they built the Preliminary Budget – health insurance costs and State funding. Mid-year rescissions from the State are a very real possibility after the election. In order to put a cushion in the budget, they set aside some undesignated money to take care of the volatility in these two items. They do have enough money set aside to cover the insurance renewal increase, because it reflects only one-half of the year in this budget. It will cost the College approximately $350,000 more than was budgeted. She feels comfortable at this point; however, if they get huge rescissions from the State, they would have to revisit the budget.

She reminded everyone that, in order to get to this point in the Preliminary Budget, everyone had to cut two percent out of their budget. For the most part, they did not touch salary and fringe benefits, so the cuts across the College came out from many other lines (primarily supplies, services, travel, etc.).

Pages 56-59 show details of the tax data. Last year, the Northwest Suburbs were reassessed, which happens every third year. That is planned in the budgeting cycle. During the third year, property values go way up, because people are reassessed. Under the tax cap, Harper’s tax income is capped at the rate of inflation, which is 3.4 percent of what they received last year. When property values go up, the tax rate goes down, and that is what has happened this year. The College also made some provision for refunds that are occurring under the Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB). This is a process by which property tax owners can appeal assessments. Businesses have been very aggressive in using the PTAB methodology – they have been appealing their assessments, and they have been winning. That means the College would have to give a refund for taxes that were already paid to them. They have spreadsheets to help predict what will happen with all of the appeals.
Member Gillette reiterated that when businesses and homeowners are assessed the higher assessment, Harper’s tax rate drops. When they appeal to lower it, Harper cannot make it up. He gave an example of a taxpayer being erroneously assessed high: a mistake reflected his assessment to be $1M instead of $1. Because he was assessed at $1M, Harper’s tax rate would drop down significantly. When his assessment is reduced from $1M to $1, the tax rate is not recalculated for Harper.

Vice President Thorson explained that her philosophy is that the tax cap not only caps Harper, but it guarantees the rate of inflation. As Member Gillette just illustrated, that guarantee is not happening right now with all of the refunds. Harper is getting something less overall. It was noted that the PTAB refunds are causing serious problems for K-12 schools.

Page 60 details some of the revenue sources in the Education Fund. There are some negative numbers in the change column. State government is down $565,000 in the credit hour grant.

Page 63 focuses more on Education Fund expenditures. Salaries are 68 percent of the Fund, and fringe benefits are 13 percent. Combined, they account for approximately 81 percent of Education Fund expenditures.

Page 89 shows a summary of the Operation & Maintenance (Restricted) Fund. The $48M will be used to pay for that share of the referendum building in this year.

Page 90 shows a clear picture of the referendum money. Actual Fiscal Year 2000-2001 is the year the bond was sold - $102M came in as revenue. In that year, only a little under $10M was spent. A total of $95M was carried forward. In each succeeding year, the College is spending some of the bond proceeds that were received in 2000/01 as the building is being built.

The next pages list the dollars for the capital projects that are expected to be completed throughout campus this year. It is a combination of referendum dollars, life safety dollars and projects from the Operation & Maintenance Fund dollars.

Pages 92-93 reflect the longer range plan of funding the new buildings as they come online. Operating expenses on page
95 reflect approximately $7,100,000 for Fiscal Year 2002, and reflect a projection of greater than $9M by 2006.

As Vice President Thorson concluded her presentation, she noted that Member Gillette had called in a few minor concerns earlier today. They are looking at some adjustments on a couple of pages reflecting those concerns.

Board members thanked Vice President Thorson for the format, which is easy to follow. Ms. Thorson recognized Laurie Dietz and Donna Drake, who worked very hard on the budget document.

Krister Kittelson, student, addressed the Board. He asked how much new money was coming into this budget, which revenues actually increased. Vice President Thorson noted that property tax did increase. Chair Howard added that it will not cover the increase in expenses. Member Gillette clarified that 2.8 percent additional money will come in from property taxes, less the PTAB refunds. Additionally, the tuition increase of $4 per credit hour will be coming in. Board members reiterated that the tax cap is negatively impacting revenues, along with the fact that the State is giving less money each year. Krister Kittleson was asked to give Carol Blotteaux his name, so that she could provide him with a copy of the budget after it is in final print.

Member Gillette noted that, after reviewing the budget thoroughly, he called in about picky typos – nothing of substance. He thought the budget was very well done and easy to understand. He thanked everyone who worked on the budget.

In addition to thanking everyone for the easy format, Member Stone thanked those individuals involved in achieving the budget cuts to balance the budget. It was an extremely difficult task.

Inasmuch as there were no other persons present who desired to speak regarding the budget, Chair Howard declared the budget hearing closed and reconvened the regular Board meeting at 8:35 p.m.

Adoption of Budget  Member Kolze moved, Member Barton seconded,
Resolution adoption of the Budget Resolution as outlined in Exhibit VIII-A (attached to the minutes in the Board of Trustees' Official Book of Minutes).

Upon roll call, the vote was as follows:

Ayes: Members Barton, Botterman, Gillette, Howard, Kolze, Shure and Stone
Nays: None

Motion carried.

Resolution Designating Member Stone moved, Member Gillette seconded, adoption of the Resolution Designating Robert Breuder and Judith Thorson to Prepare a Tentative Budget for 2003/04, as outlined in Exhibit VIII-B (attached to the minutes in the Board of Trustees' Official Book of Minutes).

Upon roll call, the vote was as follows:

Ayes: Members Barton, Botterman, Gillette, Howard, Kolze, Shure and Stone
Nays: None

Motion carried.

Award Bid for Outside Member Barton moved, Member Gillette seconded, approval of bid request Q00248 for outside work at the Performing Arts Center and the Wojcik Conference Center to Schaefges Brothers, Inc., the low bidder, in the amount of $1,125,100.80, the architect's fee of $84,382.56, reimbursables of $27,500 and a contingency of $12,094.83 for a total award of $1,249,078.19, as outlined in Exhibit VIII-C (attached to the minutes in the Board of Trustees' Official Book of Minutes).

In response to Member Kolze, Dr. Breuder explained that a typical fee is anywhere from 5 percent to 10 percent; the fee for the big referendum building is 6 percent. The larger projects tend to have smaller fee percentages; therefore, the 7.5 percent fee is reasonable.

Member Gillette noted that the art sculpture was placed in the circle outside the Performing Arts Center this evening.

In response to Member Kolze, Dr. Breuder noted that they should be finished with the exterior landscaping work by mid-December.
Upon roll call, the vote was as follows:

Ayes: Members Barton, Botterman, Gillette, Howard, Kolze, Shure and Stone
Nays: None

Motion carried.

Exterior Signage

Member Barton moved, Member Kolze seconded, approval of bid request Q00254 for exterior signage, including all entrance identifiers, building identifiers, vehicular and pedestrian directional signs, parking lot signs, campus maps and temporary event signs as identified in the signage master plan for the main campus, the Northeast Center, and the Harper Professional Center to Doyle Signs, Inc., the low bidder, in the amount of $539,760. The recommendation is made contingent upon shop drawings and manufactured mockups meeting the approval of the College and the College sign consultant, as outlined in Revised Exhibit VIII-D (attached to the minutes in the Board of Trustees' Official Book of Minutes).

Member Barton emphasized the importance of clear signage, especially when visitors come on campus in the winter, when it is snowing and pitch black.

Upon roll call, the vote was as follows:

Ayes: Members Barton, Botterman, Gillette, Howard, Kolze, Shure and Stone
Nays: None

Motion carried.

Affiliation Agreement: MetroHealth Medical Center

Member Kolze moved, Member Stone seconded, approval of the Affiliation Agreement between William Rainey Harper College and MetroHealth Medical Center, for the clinical education of students in the health career programs, as outlined in Exhibit VIII-E (attached to the minutes in the Board of Trustees' Official Book of Minutes).

In response to Member Barton, Cheryl Kisunzu stated that Harper College has approximately 50 affiliation agreements. Chair Howard suggested that a brief summary regarding affiliation agreements be prepared for the Board and for the Press. She feels it is a remarkable collaboration with the community to benefit Harper students.
Upon roll call, the vote was as follows:

Ayes: Members Barton, Botterman, Gillette, Howard, Kolze, Shure and Stone
Nays: None

Motion carried.

On behalf of the Harper College Board of Trustees, Chair Howard read a statement regarding Faculty Contract Talks, which she had issued earlier today and given to the Press, to the Foundation Board members and legislators.

“We deeply regret the lack of progress in contract talks between leaders of the Faculty Senate/Union and our negotiating team. The Board again reaffirms its respect and admiration for all Harper faculty members. There is no question Harper’s national reputation for excellence is due in large part to our outstanding faculty and the care and concern they show for our students.

“Historically, Harper College has provided competitive salaries, superior benefits and first-rate facilities to attract and retain highly skilled, energetic and motivated employees. Our current offer underscores this commitment. It is important to know the salary and benefits package offered to the faculty has been accepted by Harper’s three other employee unions: PRO/TECH, ICOPS and IEA-NEA. Although leaders of the Faculty Senate/Union disagree with us, we feel our offer of accumulated salary increase of 23.12 percent over the next four years is generous in light of the State cutbacks, which we heard about tonight, the property tax caps and the poor state of the economy, which obviously affects our interest income.

“The Board also feels our healthcare proposals are fair and reasonable, given the escalating cost of medical insurance. Harper College faces an astounding 41 percent increase in healthcare premiums next year alone. The College has budgeted for a 15 percent increase. In view of these enormous price hikes, we have asked all covered employees to assume a modest share of the increase. Even with our current offer, the Board is facing possible budget deficits over the next four years, due in part to salary increases and substantial increases in health insurance premiums. To prevent deficits, we will need to exercise both tight fiscal management and restrained spending. The taxpayers’
message to this Board is loud and clear: ‘Live within your means.’ We hear you. This Board will not resort to deficit spending to finance a labor settlement. This Board cannot and will not shield our employees from economic realities at taxpayer expense.

“This Board is willing to endure the pain of a walk-out, whatever the length, in order to ensure the financial stability of this institution, which is essential if we wish to support top quality education. While we will continue to make every effort to reach a settlement, we are developing detailed contingency plans in the event of a strike. We deeply regret the uncertainty and anxiety felt by our students caused by the threat of a faculty strike. Students are truly the real victims of the current contract dispute. A strike benefits no one.

“While it is clear there are differences between the Board and leaders of the Faculty Senate/Union, which remain to be resolved, I believe I speak for everyone when I say to students that Harper College and the Harper faculty are both committed to your education. The faculty will not abandon you, nor will the administration of the College. All parties will make every effort to reach a new contract agreement and avoid any interruption of the fall semester. If there is a strike and subsequent resolution, all parties will work together to complete the fall semester. All Harper employees are dedicated to serving the educational needs of the community.

“In the days ahead, the Board pledges to resolve the contract dispute in accordance with Harper’s core values – respect, integrity, collaboration and excellence. The taxpayers who support us, and the students who depend on us, deserve no less.”

CITIZEN PARTICIPATION

Mary Azawi read the following prepared statement: “On September 13, 2002, a statement on negotiations by Dr. Bonnie Henry was published on the Harper website. It was in another place, as well. In it, Dr. Henry referred to the fact that three of the organized employee groups had signed contracts with the Board, which is correct. However, her comment that this underscores the fact that those groups (which include the Professional/Technical employees, of which I am a member) thought the Board’s salary and insurance benefit offers were generous -- that was not correct. To set the record straight, Pro/Tech officers met and crafted a response to Dr. Henry’s misleading statements
and asked that the response be posted on the same place where her statement was posted. Our response was in fact posted briefly and then was withdrawn. We were informed that we could not use the website for union statements and furthermore, we could not use email to translate union-related information to our members. This, despite a long history of using email for just that purpose. So, I am here tonight to read our response to Dr. Henry’s statement of September 13, and to protest the censorship which allows Dr. Henry to misrepresent our position, but does not allow us to clarify that position. Following is the main body of our brief response, which we want to be heard.

‘Dr. Henry reports that the Board negotiators offered $3,200 to employees enrolled in the PPO and $1,600 in the HMO to be paid to employees over the next four years to offset the employee’s contributions to rising insurance premiums. As for this expensive concession, as she expressed it, it is our belief that the money proposed as the rebate to cushion increased insurance costs for all Harper employees is available as a result of the Faculty Senate arbitration victory on the insurance grievance. The arbitrator ruled that these dollars belong to the employees as part of the negotiated employee contract package. From the beginning, the Faculty Senate’s position has remained steadfast in insisting that all employees benefit from this settlement. Dr. Henry also states that the Board’s offers have been extremely generous and the fact that the salary and health benefit packages have been acknowledged and approved by the College’s three other unions underscores this point. We would like to clarify that, yes, we have settled our contract. But, we would not go so far as to call it “extremely generous.” We settled because the union believed the total contract package was the best we could do at the time. However, as part of our negotiations, we also received assurance that should any other group receive a better salary or an insurance settlement, all employees would receive it also. Finally, the comparison of current negotiations to past negotiations is disturbing and unnecessary. It is our feeling that not only this negotiation, but also all past negotiations, have been conducted in a professional manner. Those who have participated should be commended for representing the needs and concerns of their constituencies. For a balanced understanding of the differences in positions in these negotiations, we suggest that interested parties consult the Harper Faculty website at harperfacultyorganization.org as well as the Harper College website.’
In response to Chair Howard, Ms. Azawi stated that she will leave a copy of her document with Carol Blotter so that it can be reproduced and distributed to Board members.

On behalf of the Faculty Senate, Paul Holdaway read a prepared statement which responded to statements recently released by the Board of Trustees’ negotiating team.

1. We respectfully request that communications regarding contract negotiations be factually correct. Please know that the Board will be very aggressive in setting the record straight on erroneous statements.

   Our response: ‘The Faculty Senate Union has been forced by the Board’s consistent misrepresentations and misleading statements to set their record straight via the use of handouts to its members. The Faculty Senate/Union will also aggressively pursue setting the record straight on erroneous or misleading information that the Board has consistently disseminated regarding negotiations with the Faculty Union.’

2. The Board is offering a five percent raise to the Faculty salary pool in the first year of the contract. It is the same offer that was accepted by other employee groups. The faculty union asked for and received their current system of salary distribution where promotions and step increases were automatic. Under the Board’s current salary proposal, some faculty members will receive 4.4 percent salary increase.

   Our response: ‘The Faculty Senate is gratified to see that the Board has finally admitted that the salary offer amounts to an increase of 4.4 percent rather than 5 percent. We trust that this means the Board is determined to cease its efforts to mislead the public into believing all faculty will receive 5 percent raises.’

3. The amount of money involved in the insurance grievance is still in arbitration process. The KPMG audit authorized by the Board has determined the amounts in the insurance run-out fund to be $8M. The Faculty Senate leaders believe the amount could be $3.5M.

   Our response: ‘The amount of $3.5M is based upon the following figures: $1.8M is in the run-out fund; $1.1M is in savings as specified in the 1997 addendum to the
contract and $600,000 in interest accruing from the run-out fund. Those are our figures. The Board team has not yet, in any serious effort, contested these figures.'

4. **PRO/TECH, IEA-NEA and ICOPS Unions have the right to re-open negotiations if they feel their salary and benefit package is inequitable to that given to the Faculty Senate/Union.**

Our response: ‘We can do no better than to quote the recent statement from the officers of the Professional/Technical Union. “We settled because the Union believed the total contract package was the best we could do at the time. However, as a part of our negotiations, we also received assurance that should any group receive a better salary or insurance settlement, all employees would receive it.’

5. **Faculty Senate/Union statement – ‘There is no insurance crisis.’ To say there is no insurance crisis indicates thinking that is out of step with economic realities.’**

Our response: ‘The Faculty Senate/Union defies the Board and College administration to point to any document or public statement by the Senate that “there is no insurance crisis.” In fact, we have never said any such thing. The Senate, however, has always maintained the long-term resolution of escalating insurance cost will require determined and cooperative effort of all constituent groups on campus. In truth, the Board’s attempt to attribute the statement in question to the Faculty Senate is nothing more, nor less, than an effort by the Board and administration to detract attention to the facts contained in the recent insurance grievance. In that decision, arbiter Robert McAlister emphasized that the College failed in its responsibility to provide timely information to the Insurance Committee. That “was obviously pertinent to the Committee’s approach to the large foreseeable increase. The College’s lack of action interfered with the Committee’s ability to function.” The Faculty Senate/Union brought about the insurance grievance specifically because we foresaw the insurance issues would continue to be a troubling problem. Our actions and words alike sharply contradict any claim that the Senate ever contended “there is no insurance crisis.”’

Board members requested copies of the document.

**ANNOUNCEMENTS BY**
Chair Howard noted that she attended the National Meeting of the Association of Community College Trustees in Philadelphia. The focus was on “Securing a Sound Financial Future in Community Colleges.” Community colleges across the country are facing the same kind of fiscal challenges that Harper College is facing, including state budget cuts and the state of the economy. There were substantive discussions. Chair Howard brought back materials for Cathy Brod and the Educational Foundation regarding endowments and for Vice President Margaret Skold regarding the “Intercultural Connection.” Chair Howard distributed to Board members copies of information regarding “The Role of Technology in Supporting Higher Education.” She announced that James Ayres from Illinois was elected new At-Large Director; Dave McGuire was elected to the ACCT Board of Directors as the new Regional Director, and Barbara Auschlager was elected to the Nominating Committee. There are strong representatives from Illinois.

Chair Howard noted that, in keeping in line with the topic of assessments this evening, the Board does participate in an annual Board retreat where they conduct their own Board evaluation. Individual Board members will receive a questionnaire this evening for them to complete and send directly to the facilitator of the evaluation retreat – Gary Davis, Executive Director of Illinois Community College Trustees Association. Dr. Davis is also a Facilitator of Board Retreats and Training for the National Association of Community College Trustees. The retreat will be held on October 8 in the Wojcik Conference Center.

Calendar dates are printed on the agenda for Board information. The next two Board meetings are scheduled for Thursday, October 24 and Monday, November 25. It was noted that the dates were not listed on the Agenda.

Dr. Breuder introduced and welcomed the new Director of the Physical Plant, Jim Ma. He also acknowledged that Vice President Bonnie Henry is present at her last Board meeting. She is retiring after 20 years of service at Harper College.

Member Gillette noted that the Board has not officially met the new Dean of Math and Science, Sally Griffith. The Board welcomed Ms. Griffith. Vice President Joan Kindle introduced the new Associate Dean from Multicultural Learning, Laura LaBauve-Maher.
Member Howard announced that the Educational Foundation is sponsoring a Harvest Gala on Friday, November 1, 2002 at the Hyatt Regency Woodfield. She hopes all of the other Board members, as well as the Harper family, will be supportive of the event. The Foundation is raising money for student scholarships, for faculty development and adding the edge of excellence to many of the programs on campus.

OTHER BUSINESS

There was no other business.

ADJOURNMENT

Member Barton moved, Member Gillette seconded, that the meeting be adjourned.

Upon roll call, the vote was as follows:

Ayes: Members Barton, Botterman, Gillette, Howard, Kolze, Shure and Stone

Nays: None

Motion carried at 9:15 p.m.

__________________________ ______________________________
Chair  Secretary
BOARD REQUESTS

SEPTEMBER 24, 2002 REGULAR BOARD MEETING

1. At the request of Member Stone, Larry Bielawa agreed to provide Board members with a copy of each of the slides from the insurance presentation.

2. In response to Member Gillette, Larry Bielawa stated he would look into providing information to the Board regarding State Retirement Program cost in benefits in comparison to Harper’s insurance cost in benefits.

3. Ms. Griffith affirmed that they would take the suggestion of Member Gillette and contact some auction houses directly with regard to the disposal of the machine tools.

4. Chair Howard suggested that a brief summary regarding affiliation agreements be prepared for the Board and for the Press. She feels it is a remarkable collaboration with the community to benefit Harper students.
Exhibit VII – A.3.a
The administration recommends that the Board award bid Q00256 for the production and mailing of Forms 1098-T for the Accounting Services Department to NCS Pearson, Inc., the low bidder, in the amount of $12,640 each for calendar years 2002 through 2004 with an option to renew, at the same or lower cost, for an additional two years through 2006.

Exhibit VII – A.3.b
The administration recommends that the Board award bid request Q00258 for electrical power for exterior signage for the main campus, the Northeast Center, and the Harper Professional Center to __________________, the low bidder, in the amount of $_______________________.

Exhibit VII – A.3.c
The administration recommends that the Board award bid request Q00253 for a Computer Room Uninterruptible Power Supply (UPS) to D&B Power Associates, Inc., the lowest responsible bidder, in the amount of $48,921, and approve an extended maintenance agreement through fiscal year 2005/06 in the amount of $14,510 for a total of $63,431.

Exhibit VII – A.3.d
The administration recommends that the Board award bid Q00257 to College Class Schedule Specialists, the low bidder meeting specifications for printing of the Spring 2003 and Summer 2003 Continuing Education Comprehensive, Personal Enrichment, and Computer Training Course Schedules, which are major publications promoting enrollment, in the amount of $98,119.80.
WILLIAM RAINNEY HARPER COLLEGE

BOARD ACTION

I. SUBJECT

Recommendation for the award of bid request Q00256 for the production and mailing of Forms 1098-T, for Accounting Services as requested by Administrative Services.

II. BUDGET STATUS

Funds in the amount of $12,640 are provided in the 2002/03 Education Fund budget, under account number 0192-039-539.

III. INFORMATION

A legal bid notice was published and six bids solicited. Three responses were received. The following is a recap of the bid tab sheet for 21,000 forms:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NCS Pearson, Inc.</td>
<td>$12,640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1099 Pro, Inc.</td>
<td>12,850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affiliated Computer Services, Inc.</td>
<td>15,120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effective fiscal year 1998, the Taxpayer Relief Act (TRA) provides benefits for persons who pay for post-secondary education for themselves and members of their families. The benefits include the federal Hope Scholarship and Lifetime Learning Credits, which help families reduce out-of-pocket expenses for college and reduce their tax liability. As mandated by the Taxpayer Relief Act of 1997 (TRA) and the amended §6050S of the Internal Revenue Code, colleges are required to provide an information return, Form 1098-T, to every student enrolled and provide copies to the IRS.
The services to be provided include exchanging electronic data files, producing and mailing Forms 1098-T to students, generating and submitting copies of the forms to the IRS and providing financial summary data to Harper College.

This request is for calendar years 2002 through 2004 with an option to renew, at the same or lower cost, for an additional two years through 2006.

IV. RECOMMENDATION

The administration recommends that the Board award Q00256 for the production and mailing of Forms 1098-T for the Accounting Services Department to NCS Pearson, Inc., the low bidder, in the amount of $12,640 each for calendar years 2002 through 2004 with an option to renew, at the same or lower cost, for an additional two years through 2006.
WILLIAM RAINNEY HARPER COLLEGE

BOARD ACTION

This is a sample exhibit. The actual exhibit will be delivered at a later date.

I. SUBJECT

Recommendation for the award of bid request Q00258 for electrical power for exterior signage for the main campus, the Northeast Center, and the Harper Professional Center as requested by Physical Plant.

II. BUDGET STATUS

Funds in the amount of $200,000 are provided in the 2002/03 Operations and Maintenance Restricted Fund budget, under account number 0392-039-539.00-9301. These funds are capital development funds and cannot be used for operating expenses.

III. INFORMATION

A legal bid notice was published and ________ bids solicited. ________ responses were received. The following is a recap of the bid tab sheet:

The College is embarking on a comprehensive wayfinding system to help students, visitors and others move easily throughout the campus. The exterior signage was approved by Board action on September 24, 2002. This request is for the work and materials needed to bring electricity to all relevant locations before the signs are installed.
IV. RECOMMENDATION

The administration recommends that the Board award bid request Q00258 for electrical power for exterior signage for the main campus, the Northeast Center, and the Harper Professional Center to ___________________, the low bidder, in the amount of $_____________________.

WILLIAM RAINNEY HARPER COLLEGE

BOARD ACTION

I. SUBJECT

Recommendation for the award of bid request Q00253 for a Computer Room Uninterruptible Power Supply (UPS), and an extended maintenance agreement, as requested by Information Technology for Technical Services.

II. BUDGET STATUS

Funds in the amount of $63,431 are provided in the 2002/03 Operations and Maintenance Fund budget, under account numbers 0295-245-585.10 and 0295-256-534.

III. INFORMATION

A legal bid notice was published and nine bids solicited. Four responses were received. The following is a recap of the bid tab sheet:

- D&B Power Associates, Inc. $48,921
- Rex Electric, Inc. & Technologies 50,272
- Zonatherm Products, Inc. 56,877
- Kramer Datapower, Inc. 71,874

The current UPS unit was installed over a decade ago. It was acquired to support computer equipment that is no longer manufactured and has been replaced. The makeup and volume of equipment has changed significantly over the past 10 years, placing a heavy burden on the UPS unit.

The requested UPS unit will provide for business continuity by protecting equipment from power fluctuations and surges, and will permit the graceful power-down of critical and sensitive technology in the event of prolonged power loss. This includes the

G:Bd Exh’s Purch/2002/October1002Q00253
enterprise UNIX platforms and 65 Local Area Network (LAN) servers.

The bid price includes an eighteen-month maintenance agreement that provides 24X7 maintenance, with 4-hour response time, parts and semi-annual preventative maintenance. Also included in the bid is the removal and disposal of the existing UPS unit.

This request includes the purchase of an extended maintenance agreement through fiscal year 2006, in the amount of $14,510. The College will receive a 57% discount off of the extended maintenance if it is purchased with the initial UPS unit.

IV. RECOMMENDATION

The administration recommends that the Board award bid request Q00253 for a Computer Room Uninterruptible Power Supply (UPS) to D&B Power Associates, Inc., the lowest responsible bidder, in the amount of $48,921, and approve an extended maintenance agreement through fiscal year 2005/06 in the amount of $14,510 for a total of $63,431.
WILLIAM RAINNEY HARPER COLLEGE

BOARD ACTION

I. SUBJECT

Recommendation for the award of bid request Q00257 for printing of the Spring 2003 and Summer 2003 Continuing Education Comprehensive, Personal Enrichment, and Computer Training Course Schedules, which are major publications promoting enrollment, as requested by Marketing Services.

II. BUDGET STATUS

Funds in the amount of $98,119.80 are provided, $36,794.92 in the 2002/03 Education Fund budget, under account number 0181-060-547 and $61,324.88 in the 2002/03 Auxiliary Enterprise Fund budget, under account number 0548-133-547.4.

III. INFORMATION

A legal bid notice was published and 15 bids solicited. Six responses were received. The following is a recap of the bid tab sheet for 193M copies each of the 80-page Spring 2003 and Summer 2003 Continuing Education Comprehensive Course Schedules, 72M copies each of the 40-page Spring 2003 and Summer 2003 Continuing Education Personal Enrichment Course Schedules, and 64M copies each of the 24-page Spring 2003 and Summer 2003 Continuing Education Computer Training Course Schedules:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Warner Offset, Inc.</td>
<td>$ 94,930.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Class Schedule Specialists</td>
<td>98,119.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ripon Community Printers</td>
<td>125,748.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strathmore Printing Co.</td>
<td>170,145.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Development Institute</td>
<td>274,615.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copy-Mor, Inc.</td>
<td>321,300.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The bid from Warner Offset, Inc. was disallowed because Warner Offset, Inc. does not meet the specification requirement that the vendor must own and operate all equipment required for the bid service onsite in its print facility.

Harper College Course Schedules are sent to households in the College district. Copies are also sent to out of district students, businesses, libraries and individuals upon request. Each Course Schedule will list the College Continuing Education Comprehensive, Continuing Education Computer Training, or Continuing Education Personal Enrichment class offerings for the Spring 2003 or Summer 2003 term.

IV. RECOMMENDATION

The administration recommends that the Board award Q00257 to College Class Schedule Specialists, the low bidder meeting specifications for printing of the Spring 2003 and Summer 2003 Continuing Education Comprehensive, Personal Enrichment, and Computer Training Course Schedules, which are major publications promoting enrollment, in the amount of $98,119.80.
The administration recommends that the Board approve the issuance of a purchase order to Peregrine Systems, Inc., for renewal of a software maintenance agreement, in the amount of $47,026.
WILLIAM RAINEY HARPER COLLEGE

BOARD ACTION

I. SUBJECT

Recommendation for approval for the issuance of a purchase order to Peregrine Systems, Inc. for the renewal of a software maintenance agreement as requested by Information Technology for Client Services.

II. BUDGET STATUS

Funds in the amount of $47,026 are provided in the 2002/03 Education Fund budget, under account number 0195-245-534.01.

III. INFORMATION

The cost of the maintenance agreement is $47,026 which is $9,962, or 26.9%, more than last year's amount of $37,064. The amount has increased because modules purchased last year are now moving into the maintenance cycle. The maintenance agreement covers software support, upgrades, and training. It supports the Service Desk functions pertaining to clients, as well as the inventory and Web interfaces. The renewal period is July 1, 2002 through June 30, 2003.

Peregrine Systems, Inc. is the sole provider for the maintenance of its software.

This purchase complies with State Statute and Board Policy.

IV. RECOMMENDATION

The administration recommends that the Board approve the issuance of a purchase order to Peregrine Systems, Inc., for renewal of a software maintenance agreement, in the amount of $47,026.
WILLIAM RAINNEY HARPER COLLEGE  
BOARD ACTION

I. SUBJECT  
Personnel Actions

II. REASON FOR CONSIDERATION  
Board Action is required to ratify and approve personnel actions for all employees.

III. BACKGROUND INFORMATION  
A. Ratification of Supervisory/Confidential Appointment.  
B. Ratification of Classified Staff Appointments.  
C. Ratification of Harper #512 IEA-NEA Appointments.  
D. Ratification of Faculty Resignation.  
E. Ratification of Classified Staff Resignation.

IV. RECOMMENDATION  
The administration recommends that the Board of Trustees ratify the Supervisory/Confidential, the Classified Staff and the Harper #512 IEA-NEA Appointments; the Faculty and the Classified Staff Resignations and the Overload and Adjunct Faculty Assignment Summary Sheets.
WILLIAM RAINNEY HARPER COLLEGE

BOARD ACTION

I. SUBJECT

Review of Executive Session Minutes

II. REASON FOR CONSIDERATION

Public Act 85-1355 requires each Board to review at least every six months the minutes of closed sessions to determine whether the minutes, in whole or in part, may be made part of the public record. The Act became effective January 1, 1989.

III. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

In compliance with Public Act 85-1355, the Board of Trustees has scheduled the review of executive session minutes in April and October of each year. On Thursday, October 24, 2002, a review may take place in closed session to be followed by action on disclosure during regular session.

IV. RECOMMENDATION

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board approve for public record the executive session minutes (date[s] of executive session[s])

and/or

BE IT RESOLVED that the executive session minutes of (date[s] of executive session[s]) remain confidential.

Chair

Secretary
### Preliminary Schedule of Investments

As of September 30, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>category</th>
<th>Outstanding Investments</th>
<th>Earned To Date</th>
<th>FY03 Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EDUCATION FUND</td>
<td>$17,592,938</td>
<td>144,019</td>
<td>700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPERATIONS, &amp; MAINT. FUND</td>
<td>5,215,399</td>
<td>43,299</td>
<td>180,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPERATIONS, &amp; MAINT. FUND (Restricted)</td>
<td>2,950,004</td>
<td>19,626</td>
<td>45,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOND &amp; INTEREST</td>
<td>5,991,491</td>
<td>40,263</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUXILIARY ENTERPRISES</td>
<td>2,309,250</td>
<td>12,535</td>
<td>70,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESTRICTED FUNDS</td>
<td>1,400,660</td>
<td>9,813</td>
<td>60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WORKING CASH FUND</td>
<td>12,244,464</td>
<td>87,252</td>
<td>87,252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUDIT FUND</td>
<td>112,110</td>
<td>1,163</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIABILITY, PROTECTION &amp; SETTLEMENT</td>
<td>1,311,454</td>
<td>11,758</td>
<td>75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$49,127,770</strong></td>
<td><strong>369,728</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,222,252</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Schedule of Investments
As of September 30, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depository or Instrument</th>
<th>Date Purchased</th>
<th>Date of Maturity</th>
<th>Term (Days)</th>
<th>Rate (%)</th>
<th>Earnings to Maturity 9/30/2002</th>
<th>Principal Invested @ 9/30/2002</th>
<th>Market Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Certificates of Deposits</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harris Bank</td>
<td>10/26/01</td>
<td>10/03/02</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>54,894.80</td>
<td>1,700,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harris Bank</td>
<td>10/26/01</td>
<td>10/17/02</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>57,179.34</td>
<td>1,700,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMA/Capital One Bank</td>
<td>10/25/01</td>
<td>10/25/02</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>130,487.50</td>
<td>3,900,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMA/South Trust Bank</td>
<td>03/06/02</td>
<td>11/13/02</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>30,090.13</td>
<td>2,000,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMA/MBNA America NA</td>
<td>03/04/02</td>
<td>11/27/02</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>33,011.42</td>
<td>2,000,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Bank</td>
<td>03/06/02</td>
<td>12/12/02</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>41,954.86</td>
<td>2,500,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMA/CIB Bank</td>
<td>03/11/02</td>
<td>03/11/03</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>17,859.60</td>
<td>1,000,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMA/MBNA America NA</td>
<td>07/25/02</td>
<td>02/06/03</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>12,447.18</td>
<td>1,500,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMA/MBNA America NA</td>
<td>07/25/02</td>
<td>02/20/03</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>13,345.51</td>
<td>1,500,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMA/First NA of AZ</td>
<td>09/06/02</td>
<td>03/06/03</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>14,990.74</td>
<td>1,500,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMA/CIB Bank</td>
<td>03/11/02</td>
<td>03/11/03</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>24,993.00</td>
<td>1,000,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMA/American Enterprise Bank</td>
<td>03/11/02</td>
<td>03/11/03</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>26,101.37</td>
<td>1,000,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMA/Metrobank</td>
<td>09/06/02</td>
<td>03/20/03</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>15,345.80</td>
<td>1,500,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMA/MBNA America NA</td>
<td>08/20/02</td>
<td>05/15/03</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>23,375.98</td>
<td>1,500,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMA/MBNA America NA</td>
<td>08/20/02</td>
<td>05/29/03</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>24,610.69</td>
<td>1,500,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMA/MBNA America NA</td>
<td>09/21/01</td>
<td>09/11/03</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>75,648.93</td>
<td>1,000,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Trust</td>
<td>09/14/01</td>
<td>09/12/03</td>
<td>728</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>323,022.32</td>
<td>4,000,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harris Bank</td>
<td>10/03/01</td>
<td>10/03/03</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>302,445.43</td>
<td>4,000,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Bank</td>
<td>03/11/02</td>
<td>11/14/03</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>84,287.50</td>
<td>1,500,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Bank</td>
<td>03/11/02</td>
<td>11/26/03</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>85,937.50</td>
<td>1,500,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMA/Independent Bankers' Bank</td>
<td>08/20/02</td>
<td>02/11/04</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>56,790.74</td>
<td>1,500,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weighted Average:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>43,760,000.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>43,700,000.00</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Government Securities    |                |                  |             |         |                                 |                                 |             |
| PMA/Federal Farm Credit Bank Note | 10/01/01 | 10/01/03 | 720 | 3.05 | 124,514.32 | 1,995,000.00 |             |
| **Weighted Average:**    |                |                  |             | 3.05    | **1,995,000.00**                 | **2,022,431.25**                |             |
| **ISDMAX**               |                |                  |             |         |                                 |                                 |             |
| 9/02 Monthly Average     |                |                  |             | 1.40    | **2,163.16**                     | **2,163.16**                    |             |
| **Illinois Funds**       |                |                  |             | 1.78    | **3,430,807.11**                 | **3,430,807.11**                |             |

**TOTALS AS OF:** 9/30/2002 $49,127,770.27 $49,155,201.52

*Market value not available

**Current market value shows gains or losses depending on the current interest rates and the rates when the securities were purchased. The gains or losses can only occur if the securities are sold before their maturity dates.
WILLIAM RAINNEY HARPER COLLEGE

BOARD INFORMATION

I. SUBJECT

Board Committee and Liaison Reports

II. REASON FOR CONSIDERATION

Reports from liaison officers are provided as part of the Consent Agenda.

III. INFORMATION

There are no committee reports this month.
WILLIAM RAINNEY HARPER COLLEGE

BOARD INFORMATION

I. SUBJECT

Grants and gifts status report.

II. REASON FOR CONSIDERATION

The Board is provided with a monthly update of grants and gifts.

III. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The attachment reports the current status of operational public and private grants to the College, and status of cash donations and in-kind gifts to the Educational Foundation.
# Listing of Grant Programs

**July 1, 2002 – June 30, 2003**

**Reported:** October 24, 2002

## Grant Programs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grant Name</th>
<th>Brief Description</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Award Amount</th>
<th>Starts - Ends</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NEW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACHA Building</td>
<td>Cultural competency demonstration site</td>
<td>ACHA/CDC</td>
<td>$12,200</td>
<td>09/01/01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy Campus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>09/24/03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health &amp; Wellness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Yurik</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RENEWAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois Century Network</td>
<td>Technical Service and Support for Region II</td>
<td>IBHE</td>
<td>$81,347</td>
<td>07/01/02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Technology Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6/30/03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. McShane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 02 CONTINUATION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority Student Transfer</td>
<td>To improve the successful transfer rate of underrepresented minority students from Harper to baccalaureate institutions.</td>
<td>IBHE</td>
<td>HECA $45,500</td>
<td>8/20/02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access &amp; Dis. Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8/31/03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. LaBauve-Maher</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois Century Network</td>
<td>To support the development of online architecture studies.</td>
<td>IBHE</td>
<td>$33,500</td>
<td>04/02/02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Bricks and Clicks”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>06/30/03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DoIT &amp; TMPS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Griffith/S. Stark</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business/Industry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workforce Preparation</td>
<td>To provide local economic development in workforce training</td>
<td>ICCB</td>
<td>$149,296</td>
<td>07/01/02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>06/30/03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Danaher</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education to Careers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workforce Preparation</td>
<td>To promote career development and work based training</td>
<td>ICCB</td>
<td>$ 93,643</td>
<td>07/01/02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Hennig</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>06/30/03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welfare to Work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workforce Preparation</td>
<td></td>
<td>ICCB</td>
<td>$60,728</td>
<td>07/01/02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Hennig</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>06/30/03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Workforce Training</td>
<td>To provide training for workers currently employed</td>
<td>ICCB</td>
<td>$288,799</td>
<td>07/01/02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>06/30/03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Danaher</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced Technology</td>
<td></td>
<td>ICCB</td>
<td>$323,754</td>
<td>07/01/02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>06/30/03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. McShane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRANT NAME</td>
<td>BRIEF DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>FUNDING SOURCE</td>
<td>DATES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced Technology</td>
<td>State Allocation Grant To support student services</td>
<td>ICCB</td>
<td>07/01/02 - 06/30/03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online Grants</td>
<td>for online students $12,025</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance Learning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Stark</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred Maintenance</td>
<td>State Allocation Grant Help reduce backlog of</td>
<td>ICCB</td>
<td>07/01/02 - 06/30/03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Plant</td>
<td>neglected maintenance projects.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Getz</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerated College</td>
<td>State Allocation Grant To pay for tuition for H.S.</td>
<td>ICCB</td>
<td>07/01/02 - 06/30/03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrollment Grants</td>
<td>students taking college credit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Hennig</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health &amp; Safety</td>
<td>Installation of ADA accessible chair lifts in Building</td>
<td>ICCB</td>
<td>01/01/01 - 12/20/01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Plant</td>
<td>“D”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Getz</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY01 Continuation</td>
<td>Supports Adult Educational Development Programs</td>
<td>ICCB</td>
<td>07/01/02 - 06/30/03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Education &amp; Literacy</td>
<td>(Federal Basic, $153,418; EL/Civics, $41,154; State</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Educational</td>
<td>Basic, $130,527; State Public Aid, $19,040; State</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development</td>
<td>Performance, $102,321)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Cor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY01 Continuation</td>
<td>To provide services to students with disabilities</td>
<td>IDHS</td>
<td>07/01/02 - 06/30/03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disabled Student Project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access &amp; Disability Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. Thompson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY01 Continuation</td>
<td>Advising and Job Placement for Women’s Program</td>
<td>IDL</td>
<td>07/01/02 - 06/30/03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displaced Homemakers</td>
<td>participants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women’s Program</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. Canfield</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY01 Continuation</td>
<td>Career and Technical Education</td>
<td>ICCB</td>
<td>07/01/02 - 06/30/03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perkins III</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Hennig</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY01 Continuation</td>
<td>Supports improvement in career and technical</td>
<td>ICCB</td>
<td>07/01/02 - 06/30/03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Improvement Grant</td>
<td>educational programs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Hennig</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY01 Continuation</td>
<td>Comprehensive Career Development Program</td>
<td>ISBE/USDE</td>
<td>07/01/02 - 06/30/03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Tech Prep</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Hennig</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY01 Continuation</td>
<td>Comprehensive Career Development Program</td>
<td>ISBE</td>
<td>07/01/02 - 06/30/03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Tech Prep</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education to Careers</td>
<td></td>
<td>ISBE</td>
<td>07/01/02 - 06/30/03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Simmons</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY01 Continuation</td>
<td>Financial resources for Tech Prep Program</td>
<td>ISBE</td>
<td>07/01/02 - 06/30/03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transitions Grant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSET-Education to Careers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Simmons</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRANT NAME</td>
<td>DIVISION/DEPARTMENT</td>
<td>MANAGER</td>
<td>BRIEF DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>FUNDING SOURCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY01 Continuation</td>
<td>Work Based Learning</td>
<td>NSET-Education to Careers</td>
<td>S. Simmons</td>
<td>To provide experiences for students of the workplace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>HELP</td>
<td>Health Services</td>
<td>S. Simmons</td>
<td>Become national pilot site to develop innovative health interventions to reduce student risk for HIV or other diseases.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>Scholarships for Success</td>
<td>TMPS/ Marketing</td>
<td>S. Griffith/D. Magno</td>
<td>Scholarship Assistance to support Math, Science, Engineering, &amp; Computer Science students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>Midwest Center of Post-Secondary Outreach to A &amp; D Service Programs</td>
<td>St. Paul Technical College</td>
<td>T. Thompson/D. Kavin</td>
<td>Provides technical assistance to A &amp; D Service Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY01 Continuation</td>
<td>Midwest Center of Post-Secondary Outreach to A &amp; D Service Programs</td>
<td>St. Paul Technical College</td>
<td>T. Thompson/D. Kavin</td>
<td>Provides technical assistance to A &amp; D Service Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>Midwest Center of Post-Secondary Outreach to A &amp; D Service Programs</td>
<td>St. Paul Technical College</td>
<td>T. Thompson/D. Kavin</td>
<td>Provides technical assistance to A &amp; D Service Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>COPS</td>
<td>Public Safety</td>
<td>M. Alsup</td>
<td>Universal Hiring Program</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total as October 24, 2002: $2,907,836

DESCRIPTION OF ABBREVIATIONS

- **ACHA**: American College Health Association
- **COPS**: Community Oriented Policing Services
- **DoIT**: Department of Instructional Technology
- **HECA**: Higher Education Cooperation Act
- **HELP**: Health Education and Leadership Program
- **IBHE**: Illinois Board of Higher Education
- **ICCB**: Illinois Community College Board
- **IDHS**: Illinois Department of Human Services
- **IDL**: Illinois Department of Labor
- **ISBE**: Illinois State Board of Education
- **NASPA**: National Assoc. of Student Personnel Administrators
- **NSF**: National Science Foundation
- **NSET**: Northwest Suburban Employment and Training Center
- **TMPS**: Technology, Math & Physical Science
- **USDE**: United States Department of Education
- **USDJ**: United States Department of Justice
- (F) Federal funds (S) State funds
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>No. Gifts</th>
<th>Cash</th>
<th>Pledges/Stocks</th>
<th>In-Kind Gifts</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct Mail</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2,017.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$2,017.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golf Open 2002</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$9,975.00</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$11,975.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harper Symphony Orchestra</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvest Gala 2002</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>$14,100.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$7,244.55</td>
<td>$155.00</td>
<td>$21,499.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matching</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Solicitation</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$5,505.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$5,505.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposal</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$35,500.02</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$35,500.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stewardship</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$2,041.43</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$2,041.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Campaign</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
<td>$260.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$410.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theatre Seat Campaign</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsolicited</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>$1,262.22</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$1,750.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$3,012.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Totals:</strong></td>
<td><strong>132</strong></td>
<td><strong>$72,825.67</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,260.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>$8,994.55</strong></td>
<td><strong>$155.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>$84,235.22</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Harper College Educational Foundation
#### September 2002 Fundraising Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appeal ID</th>
<th>No. Gifts</th>
<th>Cash</th>
<th>Pledges/Stocks</th>
<th>In-Kind Gifts</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Harper Symphony</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvest Gala</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>$14,100.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$7,244.55</td>
<td>$155.00</td>
<td>$21,499.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matching</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Solicitation</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$5,505.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$5,505.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stewardship</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ToE2002</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsolicited</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$1,012.22</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$2,012.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Totals:</strong></td>
<td><strong>89</strong></td>
<td><strong>$20,967.22</strong></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td><strong>$8,244.55</strong></td>
<td><strong>$155.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>$29,366.77</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
WILLIAM RAINNEY HARPER COLLEGE

BOARD ACTION

I. SUBJECT

Resolution for 2002 Estimated Tax Levies

II. REASON FOR CONSIDERATION

In accordance with the Truth in Taxation Act, a resolution must be adopted showing the 2002 estimated tax levies.

III. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The Truth in Taxation Act requires that the Board of Trustees determine the estimated amounts of taxes necessary to be levied for the year at least thirty (30) days before the official adoption of the tax levies and to give public notice and schedule a public hearing.

IV. RECOMMENDATION

The administration recommends that the attached resolution providing for the estimated tax levies, required publication, and hearing date for 2002 be adopted.
RESOLUTION REGARDING ESTIMATED AMOUNTS NECESSARY TO BE LEVIED FOR THE YEAR 2002

WHEREAS, the *Cook County Truth in Taxation Law* requires a taxing district that has territory in Cook County, Illinois to determine the estimated amounts of taxes necessary to be levied for the year not less than 30 days prior to the official adoption of the aggregate tax levy of the district; and

WHEREAS, said statute further requires a tax district to give public notice and to hold a public hearing on the district's intent to adopt an aggregate tax levy; and

WHEREAS, it is hereby determined that the estimated amounts of money necessary to be raised by taxation for the year 2002 upon the taxable property of the district are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Education Purposes</td>
<td>$26,884,075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations and Maintenance Purposes</td>
<td>$11,494,210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liability Insurance, Workers' Compensation,</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment Insurance, Property Insurance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare Contributions</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit</td>
<td>$125,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life Safety</td>
<td>$1,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$40,803,285</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WHEREAS, the *Cook County Truth in Taxation Law* requires that all taxing districts in the State of Illinois provide data in the Notice concerning the levies made for debt service made pursuant to statute, referendum, resolution or agreement to retire principal or pay interest on bonds, notes, and debentures or other financial instruments which evidence indebtedness; and
WHEREAS, the aggregate amount of property taxes extended for bond and interest purposes for 2001 was $10,977,714; and it is hereby determined that the estimated amount of taxes to be levied for bond and interest purposes for 2002 is $10,298,375.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Trustees, William Rainey Harper Community College District No. 512, Counties of Cook, Kane, Lake and McHenry, State of Illinois, as follows:

Section 1: The aggregate amount of taxes estimated to be levied for the year 2002 is $39,103,285.

Section 2: The aggregate amount of taxes estimated to be levied for the year for 2002 for debt service is $10,298,375.

Section 3: Public notice shall be given in the Daily Herald and The Journal and Topics, both being newspapers of general circulation in said district, and a public hearing shall be held, all in the manner and time prescribed in said notice, which notice shall be published not more than 14 days nor less than 7 days prior to said hearing, and shall be not less than 1/8 page in size, with no smaller than twelve (12) point, enclosed in a black border not less than 1/4 inch wide and in substantially the following form:
NOTICE OF PROPOSED PROPERTY TAX LEVY FOR
WILLIAM RAINNEY HARPER COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT NO. 512

I. A public hearing to approve a proposed property tax levy for William Rainey Harper Community College District No. 512 for 2002 will be held on December 17, 2002 at 7:00 p.m. in the Wojcik Conference Center, Room W-214 at Harper College, 1200 W. Algonquin Road, Palatine, Illinois.

Any person desiring to appear at the public hearing and present testimony to the taxing district may contact Carol Blotteaux, (telephone 847.925.6390).

II. The corporate and special purpose property taxes extended or abated for the year 2001 were $37,557,598.

The proposed corporate and special purpose property taxes to be levied for 2002 are $40,803,285. This represents a 8.6% increase over the previous year.

III. The property taxes extended for debt service and public building commission leases for 2001 were $10,977,714.

The estimated property taxes to be levied for debt service and public building commission leases for 2002 are $10,298,375. This represents a 6.2% decrease over the previous year.

IV. The total property taxes extended or abated for 2001 were $48,535,312.

The estimated total property taxes to be levied for 2002 are $50,101,660. This represents a 5.3% increase over the previous year.

(Note: This must be in at least 12 point type, the black border must be not less than 1/4 inch wide, and this notice must be 1/8 page in size.)
**Section 4:** This resolution shall be in full force and effect forthwith upon its passage.

ADOPTED this 24th day of October, 2002.

BOARD OF TRUSTEES
WILLIAM RAINEY HARPER COMMUNITY
COLLEGE DISTRICT NO. 512
COUNTIES OF COOK, KANE,
LAKE and MCHENRY
STATE OF ILLINOIS

By: ______________________________
Chairman

ATTEST:

____________________________
Secretary
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH
THE COOK COUNTY TRUTH IN TAXATION LAW

I, the undersigned, do hereby certify that I am Chairman of the Board of Trustees of William Rainey Harper Community College District No. 512, Counties of Cook, Kane, Lake and McHenry, State of Illinois; and

I do further certify that the Board of Trustees of said district adopted a "Resolution Regarding Estimated Amounts Necessary to be Levied for the Year 2002", at a regularly convened meeting held on the 24th day of October, 2002, said date being at least 30 days preceding the adoption of the aggregate tax levy of the district; and

I do further certify that public notice of the intention of the district to adopt an aggregate tax levy was published on Monday, December 9, 2002, in accordance with the provisions of the Cook County Truth in Taxation Law, in a newspaper of general circulation in William Rainey Harper Community District No. 512, a copy of which published certificate is attached hereto; and

I do further certify that a public hearing on the proposed tax levy was held in said district on December 17, 2002; and

I do further certify that the aggregate tax levy of the district was adopted on December 17, 2002 after public notice and a hearing, all in accordance with the Cook County Truth in Taxation Law.

____________________________________
CHAIRMAN
BOARD OF TRUSTEES
WILLIAM RAINEY HARPER
COMMUNITY COLLEGE
DISTRICT NO. 512
COUNTIES OF COOK, KANE,
LAKE AND MCHENRY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
RESOLUTION REGARDING THE AMOUNT
OF TAXES LEVIED FOR THE YEAR 2002

WHEREAS, the Cook County Truth in Taxation Law requires that if the final aggregate
tax levy adopted by a district exceeds the amount of the proposed levy as stated in its published
Truth in Taxation notice, public notice of its action shall be given within 15 days of the
adoption of said levy; and

WHEREAS, this Board of Trustees has adopted a final aggregate tax levy for the year
2001 in the amount of $ ____________, which exceeds the amount of the proposed levy as
stated in its Truth in Taxation notice;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Trustees, William Rainey
Harper Community College District No. 512, Counties of Cook, Kane, Lake and McHenry,
State of Illinois, as follows:

Section 1: Public notice shall be given in a newspaper of general circulation in the
district, which notice shall be not less than 1/8 page in size, with no smaller than twelve (12)
point, enclosed in a black border not less than 1/4 inch wide and in substantially the following
form:
NOTICE OF ADOPTED PROPERTY TAX INCREASE FOR
WILLIAM RAINNEY HARPER COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT NO. 512

I. The corporate and special purpose property taxes extended or abated for the year 2000 $__________.

The proposed corporate and special purpose property taxes to be levied for 2001 are $________. This represents a ___% [increase] [decrease] over the previous year.

II. The property taxes extended for debt service and public building commission leases for 2000 were $__________.

The estimated property taxes to be levied for debt service and public building commission leases for 2001 are $__________. This represents a ___% [increase] [decrease] over the previous year.

III. The total property taxes extended or abated for 2000 were $__________.

The estimated total property taxes to be levied for 2001 are $__________. This represents a ___% [increase] [decrease] over the previous year.

(Note: This must be in at least 12 point type, the black border must be not less than 1/4 inch wide, and this notice must be 1/8 page in size.)
Section 2: This resolution shall be in full force and effect forthwith upon its passage.

ADOPTED this _____ day of _____, 2001.

BOARD OF TRUSTEES
WILLIAM RAINey HARPER
COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT NO. 512
COUNTIES OF COOK, KANE,
LAKE AND Mchenry
STATE OF ILLINOIS

By: ______________________________
Chairman

ATTEST:

____________________________
Secretary
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH
THE COOK COUNTY TRUTH IN TAXATION LAW

I, the undersigned, do hereby certify that I am Chairman of the Board of Trustees of William Rainey Harper Community College District No. 512, Counties of Cook, Kane, Lake and McHenry, State of Illinois; and

I do further certify that the Board of Trustees of said district adopted a "Resolution Regarding Estimated Amounts Necessary To Be Levied for the Year 2000", at a regularly convened meeting held on the 30th day of October, 2001, said date being at least 30 days preceding the adoption of the aggregate tax levy of the district; and

I do further certify that public notice of the district’s intent to adopt an aggregate levy was published on November 26, 2001, in accordance with the provisions of the Cook County Truth in Taxation Law, in a newspaper of general circulation in William Rainey Harper Community College District No. 512, a copy of which published certificate is attached hereto; and

I do further certify that a public hearing on the proposed tax levy was held in said district on December 7, 2001, and that the aggregate tax levy of the district was adopted on December 7, 2001 after public notice and a hearing; and

I do further certify that public notice of the district’s adoption of a final aggregate tax levy exceeding the amount of the proposed levy as stated in its Truth in Taxation notice was published on ______________, 2000, in accordance with the provisions of the Cook County Truth in Taxation Law, in a newspaper of general circulation in William Rainey Harper Community College District No. 512, as evidenced by the Certificate of Publication attached hereto, and that said notice was published within 15 days after the adoption of said levy, all in accordance with the Cook County Truth in Taxation Law.

____________________________________
CHAIRMAN
BOARD OF TRUSTEES
WILLIAM RAINEY HARPER
COMMUNITY COLLEGE
DISTRICT NO. 512
COUNTIES OF COOK, KANE,
WILLIAM RAINNEY HARPER COLLEGE

BOARD ACTION

I. SUBJECT


II. REASON FOR CONSIDERATION

Prior to adopting the 2002 Tax Levy, Harper College is required to submit to the Illinois Community College Board for approval the 2002 Life Safety projects which will be included in the 2002 Tax Levy. After the Illinois Community College Board reviews the requested Life Safety projects, and if the projects qualify and meet their approval requirements, they will provide the certification documents necessary for the tax levy process.

III. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

House Bill 1587 was signed by Governor Thompson in September 1984. This bill, which is now part of Section 3-20.3.01 of the Illinois Public Community College Act, provides a process for Community College districts to levy a tax or issue bonds for the purpose of altering or repairing their facilities for protection, health or safety, energy conservation, handicapped accessibility, and/or environmental protection reasons.
The following projects are being recommended for 2002 Life Safety Tax Levy funding:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Work Item</th>
<th>Estimated Project Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004 – Masonry Tuck Pointing</td>
<td>$291,448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADA Automatic Door Openers FY-2004</td>
<td>$95,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking Bridge and ADA Sidewalk Access to Tennis Court and Ball Fields – FY-2004</td>
<td>$91,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W.R. Harper College Tunnel Repairs</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidewalk Replacement FY-2004</td>
<td>$272,152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004 – Campus Wide Asbestos Abatement</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Project Cost</td>
<td>$1,200,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. RECOMMENDATION

The administration recommends that the Board of Trustees adopt the attached resolution for approval of the above projects to alter and repair facilities pursuant to Section 3-20.3.01 of the Illinois Community College Act.

The administration further recommends that the Board of Trustees approve the employment of Legat Architects to provide the architectural services specified for the 2002 Life Safety repair and renovation project listed above.
RESOLUTION FOR APPROVAL BY THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF
PROJECTS TO ALTER AND REPAIR FACILITIES PURSUANT TO
SECTION 3-20.3.01 OF THE ILLINOIS PUBLIC COMMUNITY COLLEGE ACT

WHEREAS, there is need for the alteration and repair of certain of the
facilities of William Rainey Harper College, Community College District No. 512,
Counties of Cook, Kane, Lake and McHenry; and

WHEREAS, Section 3-20.3.01 of the Illinois Public Community College Act
authorizes the Board of Trustees, by proper resolution which specifically
identifies the projects to levy a tax to pay for such alterations or repairs upon the
equalized assessed value of all the taxable property of the district at a rate not to
exceed .05 percent per year for a period sufficient to finance such alterations or
repairs; and

WHEREAS, Section 3-20.3.01 of the Illinois Public Community College Act
provides that any Board authorized to levy the aforesaid tax may also, or in the
alternative by proper resolution, borrow money for such specifically identified
purposes, not to exceed FOUR MILLION FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND
DOLLARS ($4,500,000.00) in the aggregate at any one time, and as evidence of
such indebtedness may issue bonds without referendum, said bonds to mature
within twenty (20) years and to bear interest at such rate(s) as is authorized by
applicable Illinois law; and
WHEREAS, the Board of Trustees may adopt the aforesaid Resolution(s) to levy a tax or to issue bonds in the authorized amount only on the condition that the Board: (a) makes a determination that there are not sufficient funds in the Operations and Maintenance Fund of the District to permanently pay for such alterations or repairs; (b) secures from a licensed architect or engineer a certified estimate of the amount, not less than TWENTY-FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS ($25,000.00), that is necessary to make such alterations or repairs; and (c) secures from the Executive Director of the Illinois Community College Board approval of said project(s) and estimate(s); and

WHEREAS, Section 3-20.3.01 of the Illinois Public Community College Act provides that the county clerks shall extend such tax: (a) on the filing by the Board of Trustees of a certified copy of a Resolution levying said tax; or (b) on the filing by the Board of Trustees of a certified copy of a Resolution fixing the amount of bonds authorized, the date, maturities and interest thereon, provide for the levy and collection of a direct annual tax upon all the taxable property of the District sufficient to pay the principal and interest on such bonds to maturity;

NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved by the Board of Trustees of William Rainey Harper College, Community College District No. 512, Counties of Cook, Kane, Lake and McHenry, as follows:
Section 1: That the Board of Trustees has determined and identified the projects for alteration and repair. Section 3-20.3.01 of the Illinois Public Community College Act provides that the alteration and repair of facilities of William Rainey Harper College, Community College District No. 512, listed below as necessary for energy conservation, health or safety, environmental protection or handicapped accessibility and has tentatively estimated costs of said projects as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Work Item</th>
<th>Estimated Project Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004 – Masonry Tuck Pointing</td>
<td>$291,448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADA Automatic Door Openers FY-2004</td>
<td>$95,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking Bridge and ADA Sidewalk Access to Tennis Court and Ball Fields – FY-2004</td>
<td>$91,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W.R. Harper College Tunnel Repairs</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidewalk Replacement FY-2004</td>
<td>$272,152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004 – Campus Wide Asbestos Abatement</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Project Cost:</td>
<td>$1,200,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section 2: That the Board of Trustees has determined that there are not sufficient funds available in the Operations and Maintenance Fund of the District to permanently pay for the alterations and repairs identified in Section 1 above.
Section 3: That the Board of Trustees has secured from a licensed architect a certified estimate of the costs of completion of the alterations and repairs required for the projects identified in Section 1 above.

Section 4: That the Board of Trustees shall forward the certified estimates of the costs of completion of the alterations and repairs required for the projects identified in Section 1 above to the Executive Director of the Illinois Community College Board for approval.

Passed and approved the 24th day of October 2002.

APPROVED:

_______________________________________
Chairman
Board of Trustees
William Rainey Harper College
Community College District No. 512
Counties of Cook, Kane, Lake and McHenry

ATTEST:

_______________________________________
Secretary
Board of Trustees
William Rainey Harper College
Community College District No. 512
Counties of Cook, Kane, Lake and McHenry

Exhibit VIII-B
October 24, 2002
RESOLUTION CERTIFICATE

I, the undersigned, do hereby certify that I am the duly qualified and acting Secretary of the Board of Trustees of William Rainey Harper College, Community College District No. 512, Counties of Cook, Kane, Lake and McHenry and State of Illinois, and as such official I do further certify that attached hereto is a true, correct and complete copy of the Resolution for approval of Projects to Alter and Repair Facilities Pursuant to Section 3-20.3.01 of the Illinois Public Community College Act which was adopted by the Board of Trustees on the 24th day of October 2002.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto affix my official signature, this 24th day of October, 2002.

___________________________________
Secretary, Board of Trustees
WILLIAM RAINNEY HARPER COLLEGE

BOARD ACTION

I. SUBJECT

Second Reading of a change to 13.05.00 (26) in the Board of Trustees Policy Manual for the Gift Ban Policy.

II. REASON FOR CONSIDERATION

Amendments to Board policy require approval of the Board of Trustees. The first reading took place at the Board Meeting of September 24, 2002.

III. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

During the Regular Meeting of the June 25, 2002 Member Shure requested the College attorneys check out the amendments to the Gift Ban Act and explain the differences/impact.

The Gift Ban Act has recently been signed by the Governor changing the definition of nominal value (making it $100) - Item C.26. The College’s attorney has reviewed the policy.

IV. RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board of Trustees approve the change to Policy 13.05.00 (26) to the Board of Trustees Policy Manual.
WILLIAM RAINNEY HARPER COLLEGE

BOARD ACTION

I. SUBJECT

The administration recommends that Affiliation Agreements between William Rainey Harper College and the companies listed below be accepted:

- Practice Management Support Services
- Professional Billing Analysis

II. REASON FOR CONSIDERATION

An Affiliation Agreement is required between Harper College and affiliating agencies to provide for the clinical education of students in health career programs.

III. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The facilities and education opportunities available at Practice Management Support Services and Professional Billing Analysis are consistent with the clinical objectives of the Harper College Medical Office Administration Program.

This agreement has been approved by appropriate officers of these companies.

IV. RECOMMENDATION

The administration recommends that the Affiliation Agreement between William Rainey Harper College and Practice Management Support Services and Professional Billing Analysis be approved as submitted.