Final

ASSESSMENT AND TESTING COMMITTEE MINUTES
February 23, 2006

Members Present:

> Maria Moten, Co-Chair, Registrar's Office
> Nancy Rice, Co-Chair, Math Faculty
> Vicki Atkinson, STU DEV Faculty Orientation Coordinator
> Michele' Robinson, Faculty-at-Large
> Karen Froelich, Transfer Program Faculty
> Lin Cui, ESL Program Faculty
> Josh Sunderbruch, English Faculty
> Tanya Bergman, Assessment/Testing Coordinator
> Eric Rosenthal, STU DEV Administrator
> Vickie Gukenberger, ACA AFF Administrator
> Daniel Corr, AVPAA
> Matt McLaughlin, Admissions Administrator

Members Absent:

> Hazel Rilki, IT Administrator
> Dawn McKinley, Career Program Faculty
> Jean-Louise Gustafson, Reading Faculty

Members are encouraged this year to send representatives in their absence, as we are projecting some significant changes in policy that might affect all areas.
NOTE; Two (2) absences will result in a request for a department replacement for the absent committee member.

Meeting was called to order at: 3:10 pm

Agenda:

I. REVIEW OF MINUTES
   A. Minutes from 2-9-06 meeting were reviewed.
   B. Later a motion was made to approve minutes as read. The motion was seconded and carried.

II. REGRESSION ANALYSIS – Laura Crane

A. Dr. Laura Crane presented compass placement test information (in the draft format).

B. The summary results were distributed and copies will be forward to individuals who were not able to make the meeting.

C. Information should go out to various departments to determine whether we should change cut scores or not.

III. MISC

A. Regarding the data from our last meeting on the number of PT students from Fall 2005 that would become FT in Spring 2006—the original data showed that we were potentially looking at testing an additional 644 students.

B. Further data analysis by Laura Crane's office indicated that 22% of returning part-time students hit the 12th hour for Spring 2006 and did not take any COMPASS test but would have needed to test with the proposed idea. (Initial thoughts are that it was not as bad as it might be.)

C. Worse case scenario "guestimates" indicated that approximately 200 (or about 30%) additional student may need to come into the testing center for testing if the policy change goes through.

D. DISCUSSION: Perhaps with the new system we should maybe wait to implement this. However, we don't want procedures to be systems driven. So maybe we go back to see if we can enforce this with the system just for now. Remember we want a "fair" process to be developed regardless of a student's FT or PT status.

E. More Discussion took place indicating that maybe we need to "table" this issue with the new Oracle system still trying to get "up and running"

F. Conclusion was that we would table this item for 2 weeks (until the next meeting), and then get more updates on the system's status. The committee definitely feels that this issue must forge ahead. This issue is very important.

Meeting was adjourned at: 4:06 pm

IV.   **Meeting Schedule** – will be 2nd and 4th Thursday of the month at
3:00 pm – Room: X250b

March 9, 2006
March 23, 2006
April 13, 2006
April 27, 2006
May 11, 2006

Respectfully submitted,
Michele' Robinson, Secretary